



Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics with Gerris

Stéphane Popinet et al.

NIWA, d'Alembert etc...

Outline

- 1. Applied mathematics and numerical methods
- 2. Gerris' strengths: adaptivity and user interface
- 3. The Navier–Stokes equations

Plateau–Rayleigh instability, atomisation, complex boundaries

4. The Saint-Venant equations Tsunamis

(Classical) mathematical physics in two equations

• System of conservation laws ("transport" processes)

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{Q} \, dv + \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \mathbf{S}$$

• Helmholtz equation ("diffusive" processes)

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{Q} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha \, \nabla \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \mathbf{S}$$

Describes: compressible and incompressible fluids, advection/diffusion, waves (acoustic, gravity, electromagnetic), electromagnetism, elastic solid mechanics etc...

Simple spatial discretisation techniques

Cartesian



Nested Cartesian



Not adaptive

Some spatial adaptivity

Unstructured statically refined mesh



Fully adaptive in space

Walters and Goring

Dynamic refinement using quadtrees



Fully adaptive in space and time Robust and automatic meshing

"The curse of dimensionality"

or is adaptive mesh refinement necessary?

- The universe has (at least) four dimensions
- Using regular Cartesian grids, solution costs scale like

 $C\Delta^{-4}$

with C a constant and Δ the spatial resolution in each dimension

- Just buy bigger computers! A 100-fold increase in computing power will buy you a $\sqrt[4]{100} \approx 3$ -fold increase in resolution... (assuming *C* does not increase)
- Can adaptive methods break the spell?



SPLASH OF A MILK DROP

Wind Stress Curl



Chelton et al. (2004), Science

The Gerris solver

- A framework to solve partial differential equations on quad/octree finite-volume meshes
- Basic blocks: Advection–diffusion, Poisson, Helmoltz solvers
- Combination: Euler, Stokes, Navier–Stokes, Saint-Venant etc...
- Volume-Of-Fluid interface tracking, accurate surface tension
- Free Software (General Public License): http://gfs.sf.net
- Parallel with dynamic load-balancing
- 365 registered users: universities, research institutes, industry, independent etc...

 Popinet (2003, 2009), Journal of Computational Physics, Popinet & Rickard (2007), Rickard, O'Callaghan & Popinet (2010) Ocean Modelling

Gerris' user interface

Different levels

Beginner "Numerical experiment" already set up: tune the parameters

Intermediate Set up a numerical experiment from scratch

Advanced Change the system of equations (in the parameter file)

Programmer Change the source code

Several generic but customisable solvers

Incompressible Euler Stokes, Navier-Stokes, variable-density, Boussinesq

Saint-Venant Friction, Coriolis, moving bathymetry

Electrohydrodynamics Incompressible Euler + electrostatic

The Navier–Stokes equations

Mass conservation (Euler, 1772)

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\int\rho}{\mathrm{D}t} = 0$$

• Momentum conservation (Euler, 1772)

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\int\rho\mathbf{U}}{\mathrm{D}t} = \int\nabla p + \int \mathrm{sources/sinks} + \int \mathrm{dissipation?}$$

• Rheological properties of liquids (Navier, 1835 & Stokes, 1845)

dissipation $\propto \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{U} \times \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{U})$

Applicable to fluids in thermodynamic equilibrium from micrometres scales (Stokes flows) to thousands of kilometres (geophysical fluid dynamics)

Savart–Plateau–Rayleigh instability



from Jameson (1971)

- Air/water physical parameters for a 0.5 mm water jet
- $x = 2\pi r_0 / \lambda = 0.7$, Re $= (r_0 \sigma / \rho \nu^2)^{1/2} = 100$
- Mesh size controlled by $\kappa_{\rm max}\Delta < 0.2$ down to $\Delta = 2^{-10} \approx 10^{-3}$
- Full 3D incompressible Navier–Stokes simulation
- 12000 timesteps \approx 2 hours CPU time (Desktop PC)

Evolution of the interface



Close-up



Simulation size



Very viscous fluid ($La \approx 24$)



Thread diameter comparable to capillary length scale

$$l_{\nu} = \mu^2 / \rho \sigma$$

Self-similar singularity close to breakup





Gerris parameter file

```
VariableTracerVOF T
# Filter the volume fraction for smoother density transition for
# high density ratios: this helps the Poisson solver
VariableFiltered T1 T 1
PhysicalParams { alpha = 1./RHO(T1) }
# We need Kmax as well as K(mean) for adaptivity
VariableCurvature K T Kmax
SourceTension T 1 K
VariablePosition Y T v
VariablePosition Z T z
SourceViscosityExplicit 1e-2*MUR(T1)
SourceViscosity le-2*MUR(T1)
# Initial deformed tube (only a guarter of it)
InitFraction {} T ({
             x -= 0.5;
             v += 0.5; z += 0.5;
             double r = RADIUS*(1. + EPSILON*cos(M_PI*x));
             return r*r - y*y - z*z;
             })
```

#

Atomisation of a pulsed diesel jet



Parallel load-balancing



Droplet size distribution



Experimental

Numerical

Ship waves



Comparison with wave tank measurements



From small-scale Navier–Stokes to large-scale geophysical flows

- Long-wave approximation: the Saint-Venant equations
- Adhémar Jean Claude Barré de Saint-Venant, 1871. Théorie du mouvement non-permanent des eaux, avec application au crues de rivières et à l'introduction des marées dans leur lit
- (he also derived and published the "Navier–Stokes" equations in 1843, two years before Stokes)
- Depth averaging of the Navier–Stokes equations or mass/momentum balance in vertical slices
- No vertical structure implies parameterisation of vertical processes (e.g. friction, wave breaking etc...)

• The 2D Euler equations for compressible gases are identical (with the right equation of state) to the Saint-Venant equations.

Flux	\iff	Momentum
Depth	\iff	Density
Hydraulic jumps	\iff	Shocks
Wetting/drying	\iff	Vacuum transitions

2004 Indian ocean tsunami



Staggered fault displacement model (5 segments)

2004 Indian ocean tsunami



1 km \leq Spatial resolution \leq 150 km

Adaptivity



Truncation error of the wave height < 5 cm

Simulation size compared to Cartesian discretisation



Of course this curve is resolution-dependent

Average mesh size as a function of maximum resolution



Connection with fractal dimension

Classical example: the Sierpinski triangle



has a fractal (Minkowski–Bouligand or "box-counting" or "information") dimension of \approx 1.6.

In other words, the cost of describing such an object using quadtrees would scale as $\Delta^{-1.6}$ not $\Delta^{-2}.$



Mandelbrot, How long is the coast of Britain?, Science, 1967



Mandelbrot, How long is the coast of Britain?, Science, 1967



Mandelbrot, How long is the coast of Britain?, Science, 1967



Mandelbrot, How long is the coast of Britain?, Science, 1967

Conclusions

- Adaptivity changes the scaling of computing costs: $C\Delta^{-d}$, d is now smaller than the number of dimensions
- This leads to orders-of-magnitude savings \implies new possibilities
- The user interface has proven to be well-suited for fast testing of "research ideas"
- "Reproducible research" should be a "must" for numerical experiments
- Many other applications: non-Newtonian rheologies, flow solutions on manifolds, spectral wave models etc...